UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/811,621	03/29/2004	Chien-Hsueh Shih	67,200-1168	2719

7590   08/14/2008

TUNG & ASSOCIATES
Suite 120
838 W. Long Lake Road
Bloomfield Hills, MI 48302

EXAMINER
WONG, EDNA

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1795	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
08/14/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	**Application No.**	**Applicant(s)**
	10/811,621	SHIH ET AL.
	Examiner	**Art Unit**	
	EDNA WONG	1795	

All Participants:

(1) _EDNA WONG_.

(2) _Randy W. Tung_.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: _8 August 2008_   **Time:** _2:49 pm_

Type of Interview:
- ☒ Telephonic
- ☐ Video Conference
- ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant   ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes   ☒ No
    If Yes, provide a brief description: ____ .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
The Examiner confirmed with Applicants' representative that the elected claims are directed to a composition.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Edna Wong/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1795

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)